

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/631,250	BOLDRINI, FULVIO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dionne A. Walls	1731	

All Participants:

(1) Dionne A. Walls.

(2) Russell Petersen.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 September 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

35-37 and 39-41

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested permission to remove the "first", "second", and "third" recitation as it relates to the "sensor means" in the above claims, in order to remove any potential 112-2, antecedent basis, issues. Applicant agreed, and such is reflected in the attached Examiner's Amendment. Also, the Examiner has clarified the TITLE of the Invention to more accurately reflect the claimed invention, and has amended the CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION section to include the number of the patent issued from the Parent Case. .